



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

50
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/844,272	04/30/2001	Yves, Louis Gabriel Audebert	L741.01103	1050

7590 07/07/2005

Stevens, Davis Miller & Mosher, LLP
Suite 850
1615 L Street, NW
Washington, DC 20036

EXAMINER

SON, LINH L D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2135

DATE MAILED: 07/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/844,272	AUDEBERT ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Linh LD Son	2135	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 April 2001.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/03 3/05 4/05.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is responding to the Amendment received on 04/18/05.
2. Claims 1, 2, 5, 10-12, 17, and 22 are amended.
3. Claims 1-23 are pending.

Specification

4. The attempt to incorporate subject matter into this application by reference to OCL-1 and OCL-2, as a co-pending application is ineffective because Examiner can not locate the copending application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
6. Regarding claims 1, 12, and 17, the acronym "APDUs" renders the claim(s) indefinite because neither the specification or the claim language provide a comprehensive definition of the acronym. Examiner assumes that it means "Application Protocol Data Unit". Appropriate change is necessary.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. Claims 12-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chan et al, US Patent No. 6005942, hereinafter “Chan”. The reference is cited in the IDS filed on 10/19/01.

9. As per claims 12 and 17, Chan discloses “A method for transferring proprietary information through a communications pipe between at least a first remote computer system and at least a personal security device using a local client as a communications host for said PERSONAL SECURITY DEVICE” in (Col 10 lines 16-26), said method comprising:

“establishing a communications pipe between said PERSONAL SECURITY DEVICE and said first remote computer system over at least one network and using said client as a communications host for said PERSONAL SECURITY DEVICE” in (Col 10 lines 16-26), “wherein said client and said remote computer system are in functional communication using a packet based communications protocol over said network, and wherein transmitting a message from said remote computer system to said personal security device through said communications pipe comprises: generating a message on said remote computer system” in (Col 10 lines 16-26), “wherein said message is in a non-native protocol for communicating with said personal security device and said message is generated by an API Level Program, converting on said remote computer system said message from said non-native protocol into an APDU format message

using a first server data processing means" in (Col 6 lines 1-17 and Col 17 lines 55-64), "encapsulating on said remote computer system said APDU format message into said packet based communications protocol producing an encapsulated message, using a second server data processing means, transmitting said encapsulated message over said network using said packet based communications protocol, receiving by said client said encapsulated message sent over said network, processing said encapsulated message using a first data processing means to separate said APDU format message from said encapsulated message" in (Col 17 lines 45-67), and "routing on said APDU format message through a hard device port assigned to a personal security device Interface, independently of the origin and integrity of said encapsulated message, wherein said personal security device interface is in processing communication with said personal security device" in (Col 18 lines 48-65); "Retrieving said proprietary information from a storage location by said first remote computer system, processing said proprietary information by said first remote computer system, transmitting said proprietary information through said established communications pipe to said PERSONAL SECURITY DEVICE" in (Col 19 lines 1-20, and lines 45-62), "receiving said proprietary information through said communications pipe from said first remote computer system by said PERSONAL SECURITY DEVICE, and storing said proprietary information in a memory location inside said PERSONAL SECURITY DEVICE, using at least one embedded internal algorithm" in (Col 19 lines 45-62).

10. As per claim 13, Chan discloses "the system according to claim 12, wherein said storage location is local to said first remote computer system" in (Col 1 line 55 to Col 2 line 20).

11. As per claim 14, the system according to claim 12, "wherein said storage location is local to at least one subsequent remote computer system" in (Col 1 line 55 to Col 2 line 20).

12. As per claims 15, 18, and 20, "the system according to claims 12, and 17, wherein said established communications pipe employs an open communications protocol" in (Col 1 line 55 to Col 2 line 20).

13. As per claims 16, 19, and 21, "the system according to claims 12, and 17, wherein said established communications pipe employs a secure communications protocol" in (Col 1 line 55 to Col 2 line 20).

14. As per claims 22 and 23, "the method according to claim 17, and 22, further comprising; encrypting said proprietary information by said first remote computer system prior to transmitting said proprietary information through said communications pipe, and decrypting said encrypted proprietary information after receiving said proprietary information through said communications pipe by said PERSONAL SECURITY DEVICE" in (Col 19 lines 1-25, and lines 46-63).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

15. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

16. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chan.

17. As per claim 1, Chan discloses "A system for transferring proprietary information through a communications pipe established between at least a first remote computer system and at least a personal security device using a local client as a communications host for said personal security device" in (Col 10 lines 16-26), said system comprising:

"at least one network, wherein said network includes means for functionally connecting at least one local client with said at least one first remote computer system" in (Col 10 lines 16-26);

"said local client further comprising means for functionally connecting to a personal security device Interface and said network, means for functionally communication over said network with said remote computer system" in (Col 5 lines 57-65, and Col 10 lines 16-26) and;

"means for establishing a communications pipe, said means for establishing a communications pipe comprising: client communications means for transmitting and receiving message packets over said network using a packet based communications protocol, and for transmitting and receiving APDUs through said personal security device Interface" in (Col 10 lines 16-26);and

"second client data processing means for receiving incoming APDUS from said personal security device interface, encapsulating said incoming APDUS into outgoing message packets and routing said outgoing message packets to said remote computer system through said client communications means" in (Col 18 lines 55-65);

"said at least one personal security device further comprising at least one embedded personal security device application, a microprocessor, a runtime environment and at least one internal memory location, wherein said embedded application receives proprietary information through said established communications pipe and stores said information in said internal memory location and wherein said personal security device is functionally connected to said client and is functionally communicating with said client and said first remote computer system through said established communications pipe" in (Fig 1, Col 1 line 55 to Col 2 line 20); and "said at least one first remote computer system further comprising means for transferring said proprietary information from a storage location through said established communications pipe, wherein said first

remote computer system is functionally connected to said network and is functionally communicating with said client and said personal security device through said established communications pipe" in (Col 1 line 55 to Col 2 line 20, Col 10 lines 16-26 and lines 46-55, and Col 18 lines 55-65).

Further, Chan discloses a method of routing to the Personal security device, and decrypting all information at the Personal security device in (Col 5 lines 8-20, Col 10 lines 16-26, and lines 45-60).

However, Chan is silent on "first client data encapsulated means for receiving incoming message packets from said remote computer system using said client communications means separating encapsulated APDUs from said incoming message packets thus generating des-encapsulated APDUs and routing said des-encapsulated APDUS to said personal security device through said personal security device Interface independently of the origin and integrity of said incoming message packets";

Nevertheless, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention was made for one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Chan's invention to des-encapsulation the APDUS command from the terminal computer instead at the personal security device to reduce the processing time at limited resource personal security device smart card and then route the APDUs command to the security device for execution.

18. As per claim 2, "the method according to claim 1, further comprising; encrypting said proprietary information by said first remote computer system prior to transmitting said proprietary information through said communications pipe, and decrypting said

encrypted proprietary information after receiving said proprietary information through said communications pipe by said PERSONAL SECURITY DEVICE" in (Col 19 lines 1-67).

19. As per claim 3, Chan discloses "the system according to claim 1, wherein said memory location is an open location" in (Col 1 line 55 to Col 2 line 20).

20. As per claim 4, Chan discloses "the system according to claim 1, wherein said memory location is a secure location" in (Col 1 line 55 to Col 2 line 20).

21. As per claim 6, Chan discloses "the system according to claim 1, wherein said storage location is local to said first remote computer system" in (Col 1 line 55 to Col 2 line 20).

22. As per claim 7, Chan discloses "the system according to claim 1, wherein said storage location is local to at least one subsequent remote computer system" in (Col 1 line 55 to Col 2 line 20).

23. As per claim 8, Chan discloses "the system according to claim 1, further comprising means for functionally connecting said first remote computer system with at

least one subsequent remote computer system" in (Col 1 line 55 to Col 2 line 20).

24. As per claim 9, Chan discloses "The system according to claim 8, wherein said subsequent remote computer system is functionally connected to said network and is functionally communicating with said first remote computer system using said network" in (Col 10 lines 16-26).

25. As per claims 10, Chan discloses "the system according to claims 1, wherein said established communications pipe employs an open communications protocol" in (Col 1 line 55 to Col 2 line 20).

26. As per claims 11, Chan discloses "the system according to claims 1, wherein said established communications pipe employs a secure communications protocol" in (Col 1 line 55 to Col 2 line 20).

Response to Amendment

27. Applicant has amended claims 1, 2, 5, 10-12, 17, and 22, which necessitated new grounds of rejection. See Rejections above.

Conclusion

28. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Linh LD Son whose telephone number is 571-272-3856. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-6 (M-F).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on 571-272-3859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Linh LD Son
Patent Examiner



KIM VU
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100